



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,994 02/04/2004		Nicholas J. Caunter	600.1302	3574	
23280	7590 04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
	, DAVIDSON & KAPI	RIDLEY, F	RIDLEY, RICHARD		
NEW YORK,	I AVENUE, 14TH FLOO NY 10018	OK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	,		3651		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- N	A				
Office Action Summany		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/771,9		CAUNTER, NICHOLAS J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	,	Art Unit				
		Richard f		3651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicationr Reply	n appears on the	ecover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. , a reply within the state period will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the dication to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					•			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 May 2004.						
2a)	_ ·							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.		•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-4-04</u> .			atent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/771,994

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claim 3, 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The terms slip or slide contact are not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. What is a slip or slide contact?

-Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Emigh et al. USP 5954323. Emigh discloses a similar device comprising a(n):
- > Moving arm (17)

Application/Control Number: 10/771,994

Art Unit: 3651

- First gripper (24)
- > Electromechanical actuator (cylinder 28 is air & electrically operated)
- > Controller (fig. 5B)
- Second gripper (23)
- > Solenoid valve (33)
- 5. Claims 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Houseman USP 4852722. Houseman discloses a similar device comprising a(n):
- Reciprocating or rotating an arm (fig. 6b; C12/L27-35; C2/L23-30)
- ➤ Electronically controlling the gripper (C12/L27-35; C2/L23-30)
- Adjusting a closing the distance or the first gripper as a function of the signature thickness or material (the gripper is appropriately set so as to function in accordance with the signature)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller EP 0771675A1 in view of Emigh USP 5954323. Muller discloses a similar device comprising a(n):
- Moving arm (fig. 2) that is a rotating arm
- First gripper (19)

Art Unit: 3651

Second gripper (fig. 2)

Muller does not disclose an electromechanical actuator connected to the first gripper for opening and closing the first gripper with the electromechanical actuator being mounted on the moving arm.

Emigh teaches the use of an electromechanical actuator (28, 33) connected to a first gripper for opening and closing the first gripper with the electromechanical actuator being mounted on a moving arm (17) for the purpose of providing for a means of operating the gripper.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of an electromechanical actuator connected to a first gripper with the electromechanical actuator being mounted on a moving arm, as taught by Emigh, in the device of Muller for the purpose of providing for a means of operating the gripper.

Re clm 2, Emigh teaches a controller for the purpose of controlling the actuator.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (571) 272-6917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Ridley 19 April 2005 Richard Ridley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651